ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M125614
Date Received: 07/08/10
Date Extracted: 07/12/10
Date Analyzed: 07/13/10
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Analyte:

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: PO M125614, F&BI 007092
Lab ID: 007092-01 x10

Lab ID: 007092-01 x10
Data File: 007092-01 x10.050

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 95 60 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 397

 Nickel
 253

 Copper
 270

 Zinc
 <10</td>

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: PO M125614, F&BI 007092

Date Extracted:07/12/10Lab ID:I0-368 mbDate Analyzed:07/13/10Data File:I0-368 mb.039Matrix:WaterInstrument:ICPMS1Units:ug/L (ppb)Operator:AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 99 60 125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 07/15/10 Date Received: 07/08/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M125614, F&BI 007092

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 007107-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	4.14	97 b	100 b	67-132	3
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	98	101	73-119	3
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	96	100	50-144	4
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	106	105	46-148	1

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

nt
nt

	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
_Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	57-135

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{\it ca}$ The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{d} v$ Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- ${
 m jr}$ The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$ nm \cdot The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- \mbox{pr} The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

007092			SA	MPLE CHA	IN OF C	USI	ro:	DΥ		4E	0	7/c	18 J	0		,	TY
Send Report To Serect Company AUSKAN Address 628 S. City, State, ZIP Seric Phone # 225-571-603.	e us	2 ST 9813	4	PROJECT NA MESEO S REMARKS	AME/NO.		tex		>		PO# 1256 46) R - 0	Stan RUS ush c	TURN ndard SH sharge	AROUND (2 Weeks) (3 Weeks) (4 Weeks) (4 Weeks) (5 Weeks) (6 Weeks) (6 Weeks) (7 Weeks) (8 Weeks) (9 Weeks) (1 Weeks) (1 Weeks) (1 Weeks) (2 Weeks) (2 Weeks) (3 Weeks) (4 Weeks) (4 Weeks) (5 Weeks) (6 Weeks) (6 Weeks) (7 Weeks) (7 Weeks) (8 Weeks) (9 Weeks)	OSAL
		Г			1			_	ř	NAI	YSES	REQ	JEST	ED			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	3				И	otes
M125614	01	7/10	9:20	Hz0	/ /												
7117-0617		7770_	<i>,,,,,</i>														
												1					

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West

Seattle, WA 98119-2029

Ph. (206) 285-8282

Fax (206) 283-5044

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC

SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
teling by:	(see Thomas	Sew	7/8/10	1:48pm
Received by: m m m	Whan phan.	FEBT	7(8/10	1:48
Relinquished by:			-	
Received by:		Samples received at	29°C	

Samples received at 3/ °C

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

July 15, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on July 8, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M125614, F&BI 007092 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0715R.DOC